



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/521,401

09/12/2005

Clifford Charles Shone

MSQ01-003-US

2849

43320

7590

07/16/2009

EVAN LAW GROUP LLC

600 WEST JACKSON BLVD., SUITE 625

CHICAGO, IL 60661

EXAMINER

GANGLE, BRIAN J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1645

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/16/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/521,401	Applicant(s) SHONE ET AL.	
	Examiner Brian J. Gangle	Art Unit 1645	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Brian J. Gangle. (3) ____.

(2) Paul Rauch. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 14 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 62 and 63.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shone et al., McKerracher et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Possible claim amendments were discussed. Inclusion of the limitations of claims 62 and 63 in claim 52, with appropriate language to avoid 112/2 issues was discussed. In addition, Applicant asserts that McKerracher teaches away from the instant invention, thus obviating the 103 rejection of claims 62-63. Said amendment will likely be entered after-final as no new search issues will be raised.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Brian J Gangle/ Examiner, Art Unit 1645	/Robert B Mondesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1645
---	--